MASS TRANSFER ACCOMPANIED BY CHEMICAL CONVERSION OF SUBSTANCE IN A DROP

B. I. BROUNSHTEIN and G. A. FISHBEIN

All-Union Research Institute of Petrochemical Processes, Leningrad, U.S.S.R.

and

V. YA. RIVIKIND Leningrad State University, U.S.S.R.

(Received 11 November 1974)

Abstract-An investigation is carried out between a spherical drop moving at $Re < 1$ and a continuous medium with an irreversible second-order chemical reaction inside a drop.

Solutions of transfer equations are presented both for finite values of a chemical reaction rate constant with the limiting resistance of a dispersed phase and for a fast reaction with comparable phase resistances. Numerical results are reported for mean concentrations of reactants, extraction degree and flow in dependence on dimensionless time of phase contact and problem parameters.

abscripts

INTRODUCTION

RANSFER of a substance from one phase to another involving chemical reactions is one of the widespread phenomena in chemical engineering. A study of this problem extended to disperse systems of moving spherical particles is of great practical interest. Such investigations are of importance for optimization of engineering processes and prediction of chemical plumn-type reactors, one of the contacting phases isperses in another. When solving these problems a nowledge of the interaction mechanism of an indiidual chemically-reacting particle with a flow is of articular importance. Some aspects of this problem ill be considered for mass transfer accompanied with an irreversible second-order chemical reaction inside a oving spherical drop.

Mass-transfer equations for a particle in an aximmetric flow involving an irreversible chemical eaction for each of the reactants may be written in e form

$$
\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} + V_r \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial r} + \theta \frac{V_\theta}{r} \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial \theta} = D_i \Delta C_i + q_i \tag{1}
$$

there q_1 characterizes a contribution of a chemical action to a transfer process.

Mass transfer between a moving particle and a connuous flow, complicated with a chemical reaction, is usually considered for cases when a reaction proceeds in one of the phases limiting a transfer process.

Mass transfer with a chemical reaction in a medium around a single sphere was investigated in [I] for a first-order reaction by solving steady-state equation (1) at small and great *Re* values with the aid of analytical and finite-difference methods. In [2] unsteady-state equation (1) is solved for $Pe \gg 1$, using the diffusional boundary layer method. For a second-order reaction a numerical solution of transfer equations is obtained in $\lceil 3 \rceil$ for finite *Pe* and *K* values, and in $\lceil 4 \rceil$ a fast reaction is considered for great *Pe* values in the diffusional boundary layer approximation.

Mass transfer involving a chemical reaction inside. a drop was investigated in $\lceil 5 \rceil$ - $\lceil 7 \rceil$ for different cases of a first-order reaction and in [8,9] for a second-order reaction at finite values of K and *Pr.*

The present paper deals with mass transfer accompanied by an irreversible second-order chemical reaction in a drop both for finite *Pe* and K at limiting resistance of a dispersed phase and for comparable phase resistances and large *Pe* in the presence of a fast reaction.

MASS TRANSFER AT FINITE K AND Pe VALUES

Consider the problem of mass transfer between a moving spherical drop and a continuous flow when a substance (an extractant) diffusing into a drop enters into a chemical second-order reaction with a reagent (chemisorbent) dissolved inside a drop. At the initial time instant concentrations of extractant and chemisorbent in a drop equal 0 and C_{20} , respectively. A chemisorbent flow through a drop surface is assumed absent during a mass-transfer process. For the limiting resistance of a dispersed phase such a problem may be reduced to a system of equations in terms of dimensionless values

$$
\frac{\partial C_1}{\partial \tau} + Pe' \left(V_r \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial r} + \frac{V_\theta}{r} \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial \theta} \right) = \Delta C_1 - KC_1 C_2 \quad (2)
$$

$$
\frac{\partial C_2}{\partial \tau} + Pe' \left(V_r \frac{\partial C_2}{\partial r} + \frac{V_\theta}{r} \frac{\partial C_2}{\partial \theta} \right) = n \Delta C_2 - \frac{K}{m} C_1 C_2 \quad (3)
$$

with boundary and initial conditions

$$
C_1\Big|_{r=0} \neq \infty; \qquad C_2\Big|_{r=0} \neq \infty \tag{4}
$$

$$
C_1\Big|_{r=1} = 1; \qquad \frac{\partial C_2}{\partial r}\Big|_{r=1} = 0 \tag{5}
$$

$$
C_1\Big|_{\tau=0} = 0;
$$
 $C_2\Big|_{\tau=0} = 1.$ (6)

Velocity components of a liquid inside a drop, V_r and V_e , in equations (2) and (3) are prescribed by the Hadamard-Rybczinski formulas [10].

Equations (2) - (6) are solved using the alternatingdirection finite-difference method. Numerical calculations were carried out on the computer BSEM-4 for $Pe = 20$, 40 and different values of *m*, *n*, *K* parameters.

An amount of substance diffused into a drop up to the time instant τ is determined by the formula

$$
M = VC_{10}\overline{C}_1(\tau) + VC_{20}[1-\overline{C}_2(\tau)], \tag{7}
$$

where V is the drop volume and \bar{C}_1 and \bar{C}_2 are the mean concentrations of reactants determined by the formulas

$$
C_S(\tau) = \frac{3}{2} \int_0^1 \int_0^{\pi} C_S(r, \theta, \tau) r^2 \sin \theta \, dr \, d\theta \quad (s = 1, 2). \quad (8)
$$

For a mean value of an extractant flow we have

$$
Q = C_{10} \frac{\pi d^3}{6t} [\bar{C}_1 + m(1 - \bar{C}_2)].
$$
 (9)

An amount of the absorbed extractant referred to C_{10} is

$$
A = \overline{C}_1 + m(1 - \overline{C}_2). \tag{10}
$$

MASS TRANSFER ACCOMPANIED BY A FAST REACTION

At finite values of a velocity constant *K* a reaction between an extractant and chemisorbent proceeds in the volume of the whole drop. As far as *K* grows, the reaction zone thickness contracts, and at sufficiently great *K* it becomes much less than a drop radius. At $K \rightarrow \infty$ the reaction zone thickness tends to zero and the zone itself may be approximately replaced by a front. In time the reaction front moves from a surface into a drop, thus separating the drop volume into two regions, one of them being with extractant, and another, with chemisorbent. Thus, the problem on mass transferred to a moving spherical drop with a fast chemical reaction present reduces to solution of convective diffusion equations for extractant and chemisorbent coupled by conjugation conditions on a chemical reaction front.

For sufficiently great *Pe* at *Re <* 1 the equations of convective diffusion for extractant and chemisorbent may be approximately described by the Kronig and Brink equations $\lceil 11 \rceil$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[p(x)\frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x}\right] = \frac{q(x)}{16}\frac{\partial C_1}{\partial \tau}
$$
(11)

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[p(x)\frac{\partial C_2}{\partial x}\right] = \frac{q(x)}{16n}\frac{\partial C_2}{\partial \tau},\tag{12}
$$

where $x = 4r^2(1 - r^2)\sin^2\theta$ and $q(x)$ and $p(x)$ are defined in $\lceil 11 \rceil$ as functions of ellpytical integrals.

Equation (11) is obtained in $\lceil 11 \rceil$ assuming constant concentrations along streamlines that hold at $Pe \rightarrow \infty$. In this case the equation of convective diffusion reduces to that of unsteady-state molecular diffusion (11) in the direction normal to streamlines. At $K \to \infty$ the condition of constant concentrations of extractant and chemisorbent along streamlines is satisfied on surfaces being as close as possible to a reaction front, thus the latter coincides with streamlines as well. Concentrations of reagents on the reaction front are equal to zero and magnitudes of their flows are the same and opposite in direction

$$
C_1\Big|_{x=l} = C_2\Big|_{x=l} = 0 \tag{13}
$$

$$
\left. \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} \right|_{x=l} = -n \left. \frac{\partial C_2}{\partial x} \right|_{x=l} \tag{14}
$$

where l is the instantaneous position of the reaction front. Once valencies of extractant and chemisorbent are not equal, the concentration of chemisorbent should be multiplied by a stoichiometric coefficient.

The boundary condition for extractant on a drop surface is of the same form as in the case of mass transfer without chemical reaction at comparable phase resistances and constant extractant concentration in a continuous phase $\lceil 12 \rceil$

$$
\left. \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} \right|_{x=0} = \frac{3}{32\beta} \left(C_1 \bigg|_{x=0} - 1 \right). \tag{15}
$$

Concentrations ofreactants inside a drop are limited. Initial conditions are determined by formulas (6).

At small τ a reaction occurs on a drop surface and boundary conditions (13) through (15) are not satisfied. In the present case concentration of extractant on a drop surface is equal to zero, and instead of boundary conditions (14), (15) we have

$$
\left. \frac{\partial C_2}{\partial x} \right|_{x=0} = \frac{3}{32\beta mn}.
$$
 (16)

Concentration of chemisorbent on a drop surface decreases with time from 1 up to 0 that is achieved at τ_1 . Just from this time instant boundary conditions (13), (14) begin to hold. Thus, a general solution of the problem reduces to a successive solution of two problems; firstly for $0 < \tau \leq \tau_1$ equation (12) is solved provided a chemisorbent flow on a drop surface is determined according to (16), and then for $\tau > \tau_1$ a system of equations (11) through (15) is obtained at initial boundary conditions (6). Time τ_1 is defined by solving the first problem when chemisorbent concentration on a drop surface becomes equal to zero.

For chemisorbent mean concentration \overline{C}_2 at $\tau \leq \tau_1$ use of the material balance equations

$$
K_c \pi \, \mathrm{d}^2 Y_0 = -\frac{\pi \, \mathrm{d}^3}{6} \frac{\mathrm{d} c_2}{\mathrm{d} t} \tag{17}
$$

also yields an analytical solution

$$
\overline{C}_2 = 1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\tau}{\beta m}.
$$
 (18)

Beginning from $\tau = \tau_2$ corresponding to $C_2 = 0$ (position of a reaction front is defined by $x = 1$), mass transfer is described by equation (11). Here the previous boundary conditions hold for $C₁$, and the initial one is defined by C_1 obtained solving the problem in the range of $0 < \tau \leq \tau_2$ at $\tau = \tau_2$.

A solution was obtained on the computer BESM-4 by the finite-difference technique. During computation τ_1 , *l*, volume-averaged concentrations of reactants and their derivatives were determined. Calculations were carried out for $m = 1$; 2; 10; $n = 0.1$; 0.5; 1; 5; 10; $\beta = 0.0005$; 0.005; 0.05.

By \overline{C}_1 and \overline{C}_2 according to formula (9) a mean value of an extractant flow Q and its relation with the maximum flow $Q_m = K_c \pi d^2 Y_0$

$$
Q/Q_m = \frac{2\beta}{3\tau} \left[\overline{C}_1 + m(1 - \overline{C}_2) \right],\tag{19}
$$

and A/A_m characterizing a ratio of extractant absorbed by a drop to maximum possible absorption with chemisorption is found

$$
\frac{A}{A_m} = \frac{C_1 + m(1 - C_2)}{1 + m}.
$$
 (20)

DISCUSSION

Numerical results are presented graphically in Figs. l-8 for *A*, C_1 , C_2 , Q/Q_m and A/A_m depending on τ and problem parameters.

FIG. 1. A vs τ at $Pe = 40$, $m = 5$, $n = 1$ and different K values, 1, $K = 0$; 2, $K = 40$; 3, $K = 100$; 4, $K = 400$; 5, $K = 640$ (curve is built by data of [8]); 6, $K = 1000$; 7, $K = 10000$; 8, $K = 100000; 9, K_{\infty}$ [curve is built by formula (23)].

FIG. 2. A vs τ for different Pe¹, K and m values. 1, K = 0, $Pe^1 = 40$; 2, $K = 0$, $Pe^1 \rightarrow \infty$; 3, $K = 10^5$, $Pe^1 = 40$, $m = n = 1$; 4, $K \rightarrow \infty$, $Pe^{T} \rightarrow \infty$, $m = n = 1$, $\beta = 0.0005$ 5, $K = 10$; $Pe^1 = 40$, $n = 1$, $m = 3$; 6, $K = 10^5$, $Pe^1 = 40$; $n = 1, m = 5.$

Figure 1 presents *A* vs τ at $Pe = 40$, $m = 5$, $n = 1$ and different values of K. Curve 1 built at $K = 0$ (no chemical reaction) coincides with the appropriate calculations obtained by Johns and Beckman [13] when solving the equation of convective mass transfer inside a drop. A dotted curve is built for $K = 640$ by data of [8]. Curve 9 is built when $K \rightarrow 640$. In this case A is sought by the formula

$$
A = A_0(1+m) \tag{21}
$$

FIG. 3. A vs τ at $Pe = 40$, $m = 1$, $K = 10^5$ and different n values. 1, $n = 1$; 2, $n = 2$; 3, $n = 10$.

FIG. 4. \overline{C}_1 and \overline{C}_2 vs τ at $m = 1$, $n = 0.5$ and different β values. 1, $\beta = 0.0005$; 2, $\beta = 0.005$; 3, $\beta = 0.05$.

FIG. 5. \bar{C}_1 and \bar{C}_2 vs τ at $\beta = 0.05$; $n = 5$ and $m = 1$; 2; 10. \overline{C}_2 ; ----, \overline{C}_1 . 1, $m = 1$; 2, $m = 2$; 3, $m = 10$.

where A_0 is the degree of saturation without chemical reaction. As is shown in $[14]$, this relationship is valid for a fast chemical reaction situation provided diffusivities of reactants are equal to each other $(n = 1)$. As follows from this plot, the values of $K \approx 10^4 - 10^5$ practically correspond to fast reaction conditions.

Figure 2 depicts A vs τ as well. Here solid lines are

FIG. 6. Q/Q_m vs τ at $\beta = 0.0005$, $m = 2$ and different *n* values. 1, $n = 0.1$; 2, $n = 0.5$; 3, $n = 1$; 4, $n = 5$; 5, $n = 10$.

FIG. 7. Q/Q_m vs t at $\beta = 0.005$. - \cdots , $m = 1$; 1, $n = 0.5$; $-$, $m = 2$; 3, $n = 0.5$; 4, $n = 5$. $2, n = 5. - -, m = 10;$ 5, $n = 0.5$; 6, $n = 5$.

FIG. 8. Q/Q_m and A/A_m vs τ at $\beta = 0.05$. $-$, Q/Q_m ; A/A_m ; 1, 2, n = 0.5; m = 10; 3, 4, n = 0.5; m = 1; 5, 6, n = 5; $m = 1$

built for $Pe = 40$ and dotted ones, for $Pe \rightarrow \infty$. This graph illustrates an effect of m and Pe on a transfer process. Since curves 3, 5 and 6 are built for $n = 1$ and $K = 10⁵$, they may be approximately described by formula (21). In this case, the value of A_0 is determined by curve 1 at $K = 0$. Curve 4 may be predicted in the same way for which A_0 may be defined by curve 2.

Enhancement of mass-transfer rate with increasing parameter n is shown in Fig. 3 where n varies from 1 to 10. At $n = 10$ A reaches its maximum with $\tau \approx 0.04$ whereas for $n = 1$ an appropriate value of τ approximately equals 0.15.

In the next figures the problem is analysed of mass transfer involving a fast chemical reaction. Here parameter β is of importance. By its magnitude one may judge, which of phases controls a transfer process. As is shown in [15], at $\beta < 0.001$ the resistance of a dispersed phase is limiting and at $\beta > 0.1$, that of a continuous phase. Values of $0.001 < \beta < 0.1$ correspond to the case of comparable phase resistances. Note that for any β a growth of m and n contributes to enhancement of a velocity of chemisorbent transferred to a drop surface and, moreover, that of m increases chemical capacity that results in τ_1 growth which determines an initial time interval where a reaction proceeds on a drop surface. τ_1 considerably depends on β ; at great β , τ_1 is particularly great that is clear both from Q/Q_m and A/A_m dependences in Fig. 8 and from plots of mean concentrations in Figs. 4 and 5 where motion of reaction front is determined in terms of C_1 inside a drop. During time interval τ_1 , when reaction occurs on a drop surface, extractant is not transferred into a drop and concentration $C_1 = 0$.

In Table 1 *A*/(1+*m*) for $\beta = 0.005$, $m = n = 1$ is compared to A_0 values for mass transfer involving no chemical reaction at the same value of β . As is seen from Table 1, the predicted values are in good agreement with those given by formula (21).

Table 1. Mean concentrations and extraction degree values at $\beta = 0.005$, $m = n = 1$

τ	\bar{c}_1	Ō,	$A = \overline{C}_1 + m(1 - \overline{C}_2)$	A $1+m$	A_0
0-0001	O	0.970	0030	0:015	0.011
0.0004	$0 - 003$	0.890	0:113	0.057	0.057
0.0009	0.019	0.812	0.207	0.104	0.103
0.0025	0.061	0.671	0.390	0.195	0.198
0.0049	0.111	0.534	0.577	0.289	0.288
0.0080	0.158	0.424	0.734	0.367	0.372
0.0100	0-187	0.364	0.823	0.412	0.413
0.0200	0.295	0.175	1.120	0.560	0.562
0.0400	0.493	0.010	$1-483$	0.742	0.745
0.0625	0.724	0	1.724	0862	0.856
0.1000	0.881	0	1.881	0.945	0.948
0.2000	0.984	0	1.984	0.992	0.998

Evaluate, at which Pe , K and Re values the solutions obtained are applicable. For Pe , the above solutions may be applied provided that the Kronig and Brinck equations [ll] are used for describing a transfer mechanism inside a drop. As is shown in [15), these equations may be used at *Pe > 100.*

Estimate the value of K at which the thickness of reaction front may be assumed much less than a drop radius. Determine a characteristic time of a chemical reaction as that during which extractant concentration decreases *l* times at $m = 1$. Here at $t = 0$, $C_1 = C_2 = C_{10}$ is assumed over the whole drop volume. Then $t_x \approx$

 $(l-1)/KC_{10}$. A characteristic time of diffusion with liquid circulating in a drop is $t_d = 0.022R^2/D_1$. At $t_x \ll t_d$ it is found that $K \gg 100$.

In the present paper the solutions to internal problems of mass transfer complicated by a chemical reaction are obtained for *Re <* 1. However, in some cases these may also be employed for $Re > 1$. Thus, for instance, for spherical drops at $\mu < 2$ [15], the liquid flow pattern slightly changes with *Re* growth, and the solutions for $Re < 1$ (and consequently, the solutions presented here for mass transfer with a chemical reaction) may be used at $Re \approx 100$.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. I. Johnson and T. Akehata, Reaction accompanied mass transfer from fluid and solid spheres at low Reynolds numbers, *Can. 1. Chem. Engng* 43(l), 10 (1965).
- 2. V. S. Krylov, A diffusional boundary layer on a moving drop surface with a volumetric chemical reaction, Izv . *AN SSSR. MZhG No. I.* 146 (1967).
- 3. A. I. Johnson, A. E. Hamielec and W. T. Houghton, Mass transfer with chemical reaction from single gas bubbles. A.1.Ch.E. JI 13(2). 379 (1967).
- 4. B. I. Brounshtein and 'G. A. Fishbein, Mass transfer complicated with a fast chemical reaction into an individual drop, Trudy GIPKh, Collected Papers on Thermodynamics and Kinetics of Chemical Processes, vyp. 66, p. 5. Khimiya, Leningrad (1970).
- 5. P. V. Danckwerts, Absorption by simultaneous diffusion and chemical reaction into particles of various shapes and into falling drops, *Trans. Faraday Sot.* 47(9), 1014 (1951).
- 6. Y.-H. Pao, Unsteady mass transfer with chemical reaction, Chem. *Engng Sri. 1919). 694 (1964).*
- I. H. Watada, A. E. Hamielec and A. I. Johnson, A theoreticalstudy **of** mass transfer with chemical reaction in drops, *Can. J. Chem. Engng* 48(3), 255 (1970).
- 8. R. J. Brunson and R. M. Wellek, Mass transfer inside liquid droplets and gas bubbles accompanied by a second-order chemical reaction, A.I.Ch.E. Jl 17(5), 1123 (1971).
- 9. G. A. Fishbein and B. 1. Brounshtein, Mass transfer involving an irreversible chemical reaction through a spherical interface of liquid drop, *Collected Pagers Heat Mass Transfer,* Vol. 2, Part 11, p. 520. Heat and Mass Transfer Institute, AN BSSR, Minsk (1972).
- 10. J. Hadamard, Mouvement permanent lent d'une sphere liquide et visquese dans un liquide visqueux, *C.R. Hebd. S&anc. Acad. Sri., Paris* 152,173s (1911); W. Rybezynski, Uber die fortscheitende Bewegung einer fliissigen Kugel in einem z&hen Medium, Bull. *Acad. Cracouia A40* (1911).
- 11. R. Kronig and I Brink, On the theory of extraction from falling droplets, *Appl. Scient. Res.* A2, 142 (1950).
- 12. B. I. Brounshtein, 1. R. Gitman and A. S. Zheleznyak, Mass transfer to spherical drops, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. *SSSR* 162, 1336 (1965).
- 13. L. Johns and R. Beckman, Mechanism of dispersedphase mass transfer in viscous single-drop extraction systems, *A.1.Ch.E. JI* 12(l), 10 (1966).
- 14. R. J. Brunson and R. M. Wellek, Determination of the enhancement factor for mass transfer with an instantaneous fast chemical reaction, *Chem. Engng Sci. 25(6), 904 (1970).*
- 15. B. I. Brounshtein, A. S. Zheleznyak and G. A. Fishbein, Heat and mass transfer in interaction of spherical drops and gas bubbles with a liquid flow, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer* 13(6), 963 (1970).
- 16. B. 1.. Brounshtein and A. S. Zheleznyak, *Physicochemical Foundations of Liquid Estruction.* Khimiya, Leningrad (1966).
- 17. A. A. Samarsky, *Introduction to the Difference-Scheme* Theory. Nauka, Moscow (1971).
- 18. R. Merts and V. Ya. Rivkind, A finite-difference method for solving degenerating elliptical and parabolic equations, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* 172(4), 783 (1967).
- 19. V. Ya. Rivkind and N. N. Uraltseva. *A priori* estimates for quasi-linear parabolic equations with discontinuity coefficients and their application in approximate methods. Dokl. *Akud. Nuuk. SSSR* 185(2), 271 (1969).

APPENDIX

1. On Numerical Solution of the Mass-Transfer Problem At Finite K and Pe Values

To find a numerical solution of the problem, use is made of one of the variants of the alternating-direction method [17]. A difference scheme is written as

$$
\frac{C_{s i, k}^{j+\frac{1}{2}} - C_{s i, k}^{j}}{\tau_0} + Pe' \left(V_{r i, k} - \alpha_1 \frac{2}{r_i} \right) \frac{\delta C_{s i, k}^{j+\frac{1}{2}}}{\delta r}
$$
\n
$$
= \alpha_1 \frac{\delta^2 C_{s i, k}^{j+\frac{1}{2}}}{\delta r^2} - \alpha_2 \frac{K}{2} C_{1 i, k}^{j} C_{2 i, k}^{j} \quad (s = 1, 2) \tag{22}
$$

$$
\frac{C_{s i, k}^{j+1} - C_{s i, k}^{j+1}}{\tau_0} + \left(\frac{Pe^{i}V_{\theta i, k}}{r_i} - \alpha_1 \frac{\cot \theta_k}{r_1^2}\right) \frac{\delta C_{s i, k}^{j+1}}{\delta \theta} \n= \frac{\alpha_1}{r_i^2} \frac{\delta^2 C_{s i, k}^{j+1}}{\delta \theta^2} - \alpha_2 \frac{k}{2} C_{i, k}^{j} C_{i, k}^{j} \quad (s = 1, 2) \quad (23) \n\alpha_1 = \begin{cases} 1, & s = 1 \\ n, & s = 2 \end{cases} \quad \alpha_2 = \begin{cases} 1, & s = 1 \\ 1/m, & s = 2 \end{cases}
$$

where $\delta/\delta r$, $\delta/\delta \theta$, $\delta^2/\delta r$, $\delta^2/\delta \theta^2$ are the three-point difference derivatives. The first-order derivatives are taken with weight, for example

$$
\frac{\delta C_{i,k}}{\delta r} = \lambda \frac{C_{i,k+1} - C_{i,k}}{h_i} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{C_{i,k} - C_{i-1,k}}{h_{i-1}}
$$

$$
0 \le \lambda \le 1.
$$

In the difference scheme besides integer steps with respect to r and θ

$$
r_i = \sin\left(\frac{2i-1}{2N-1}\frac{\pi}{2}\right); \quad \theta_k = \frac{\pi(2k-1)}{M}; \quad \frac{i=1,2,\ldots,N}{k=1,2,\ldots,\mu};
$$

fractional ones are also used

$$
r_{i+1} = \sin\left(\frac{2i}{2N-1}\frac{\pi}{2}\right); \quad \theta_{k+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\pi_k}{\mu}.
$$

Weight values of λ and μ for the first derivatives with respect to r and θ , respectively, are chosen from the conditions :

$$
\lambda = \begin{cases} 0, & V_{ri,k} \le 0 \\ 1, & V_{ri,k} > 0 \end{cases} \qquad \mu = \begin{cases} 0, & V_{oi,k} \le 0 \\ 1, & V_{oi,k} > 0. \end{cases}
$$

The limiting conditions at $r = 0$; $\theta = 0$, π automatically hold due to a character of the scheme built [18]. Boundary conditions on a drop surface are directly approximated by:

$$
C_{1N,\lambda}^{i+\frac{1}{2}} = 1; \qquad C_{2N,\lambda}^{i+\frac{1}{2}} = C_{2N-1,\lambda}^{i+\frac{1}{2}}.
$$
 (24)

A system of equations (24) - (26) at each integer and fractional layers j, $j+\frac{1}{2}$ represents a linear algebraic system with the number of unknown values corresponding to that of the equations. For its solution a ditference energy-type estimation is valid $[19]$

$$
S_{up} \sum_{\Omega_h} h_i(\Delta \theta_t) r_i^2 \sin^2 \theta_k(C_{l,k}^m) + \tau_0 \sum_{k=0}^n h_i(\Delta \theta_l)
$$

$$
\times \left[\left(\frac{C_{i,e}^{k+1} - C_{i-1,l}^{k+1}}{h_i} \right) r_i^2 + \left(\frac{C_{i,l}^k - C_{i,l-1}^k}{\Delta \theta_l} \right)^2 \sin \theta_l \right] \leq \mu' \quad (25)
$$

where $M¹$ is the constant defined by initial and boundary conditions; Ω_h is the set of grid nodes in a rectangle $0 \le \theta \le \pi$, $0 \le r \le 1$.

Similar to [19] it may be shown that at h , τ_0 , $(\Delta \theta_i) \rightarrow 0$ the solution for a grid reduced to the exact one in a norm defined by the L.H.S. of equation (27) and in this case the order of convergence equals $(h^2 + \tau)$.

Estimation (27) gives a single-valued solution of the appropriate algebraic systems. Each system may be written in the form of a tridiagonal matrix and for its solution the elimination method is used.

2. On Numerical Solution of the Problem of Mass Transfer with a Fast Reaction

To solve equations (11) and (12) , a uniform difference scheme is built of the type

$$
p_j\left(x_i + \frac{h_{i+1}}{2}\right) \frac{u_{i+1}^j - u_i^j}{h_{i+1}} - p_j\left(x_{i-1} + \frac{h_i}{2}\right) \frac{u_i^j - u_{i-1}^j}{h_i} = \frac{q(x_i)}{16} \frac{u_i^j - u_i^{j-1}}{\tau_i}
$$

where $h_1 = x_i - x_{i-1}$, x_i is the point of segment partition [0, 1]

$$
u = \begin{cases} C_1, & 0 \le x \le l \\ C_2, & l \le x \le 1 \end{cases}
$$

$$
p_j\left(x_i + \frac{h_{i+1}}{2}\right) = \begin{cases} np\left(x_i + \frac{h_{i+1}}{2}\right) & \text{if } n \ne i, j \ge 0 \\ p\left(x_i + \frac{h_{i+1}}{2}\right) & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \text{provided } u_i^j < 0 \text{ and } u_{i+1}^j < 0 \\ np\left(x_i + \frac{h_{i+1}}{2}\right) \frac{|u^+| + |u^-|}{n|u^-| + |u^+|} \\ \text{provided } u_i^j \text{ and } u_{i+1}^j \text{ have different signs.} \end{cases}
$$

Positive and negative values of u^j function at the point (x_i, τ_j) or (x_{i+1}, τ_j) are designated through u^+ and u^- .

This scheme is non-linear. For its solution the conventional method of successive approximations is used: by u_i^{j+1} the coefficients $p_i^0(x_i + (h_{i+1}/2))$ are obtained for the layer τ_i ; then, the linear scheme obtained is solved by the elimination method that results in $u_i^{j(1)}$. These values are used to build values of $p_j^{(1)}(x_i + (h_{i+1}/2))$ and so forth unless $u^{(k)}_i$ values for two neighbouring iterations become sufficiently close. It should be also noted that the system of linear algebraic equations appearing at each iteration comprises so many equations as the number of the unknown values. This is due to the fact that the scheme at the node $x = 1$ is written in accordance with the method elaborated in [18]. Calculations were performed by using an alternating grid with spacing refining to zero

$$
\[x_i = 1 - \cos\left(\frac{\pi_i}{2n+1}\right)\]
$$

and variable time step being smaller at the initial section where great gradients of the desired values take place.

TRANSFERT DE MASSE EN PRESENCE D'UNE TRANSFORMATION CHIMIQUE DANS UNE GOUTTE

Résumé—L'expérience est effectuée sur une goutte sphérique en mouvement dans un milieu continu pour $Re < 1$ en présence d'une réaction chimique irréversible du second ordre à l'intérieur de la goutte. Des solutions des équations de transfert sont présentées à la fois pour des valeurs finies de la constante de vitesse de la réaction chimique avec une résistance limitative de la phase dispersée et pour une réaction rapide avec des résistances de phase comparables.

On présente les résultats numériques relatifs aux concentrations moyennes des réactants, aux degrés d'extraction en fonction du temps de contact des phases et des paramètres du problème.

STOFFÜBERGANG BEI CHEMISCHER UMWANDLUNG EINER SUBSTANZ IN EINEM TROPFEN

Zusammenfassung-Es wird eine irreversible chemische Reaktion zweiter Ordnung untersucht in einem kugeligen Tropfen, der sich mit $Re < 1$ bewegt.

Lösungen der Übergangsgleichungen sind sowohl für endliche Werte der chemischen Reaktion angegeben als auch für schnelle Reaktionen mit vergleichbarem Phasenwiderstand.

Die numerischen Ergebnisse sind wiedergegeben für mittlere Konzentrationen der Reaktanten für den Extraktionsgrad und für die Strömung in Abhängigkeit einer dimensionslosen Phasenkontaktzeit und anderer Parameter.

МАССОПЕРЕНОС, СОПРОВОЖДАЕМЫЙ ХИМИЧЕСКИМ ПРЕВРАЩЕНИЕМ ВЕЩЕСТВА В КАПЛЕ

Аннотация - Исследуется массообмен между сферической каплей, движущейся при Re<1, и сплошной средой в присутствии необратимой химической реакции второго порядка, протекающей внутри капли.

Изложены решения уравнений переноса как для конечных значений константы скорости химической реакции при лимитирующем сопротивлении диспергированной фазы, так и для быстро протекающей реакции при соизмеримых фазовых сопротивлениях.

Представлены результаты численных расчетов для средних концентраций реагирующих веществ, степени извлечения и потока в зависимости от безразмерного времени контакта фаз и параметров задачи.